

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/022,460		12/14/2001	Michael Gauselmann	M-12238-1P US	1710		
32566	7590	11/15/2006		EXAMINER			
		ROUP LLP	NGUYEN, DAT				
2635 NOF SUITE 22		T STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
SAN JOS	E, CA 95	134	3714				
					DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· -		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/022,460	)	GAUSELMANN, MICHA	ÆL				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Dat T. Ngu		3714					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communical or Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the d	orrespondence address					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the part of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever action. by period will apply and will by statute, cause the applie	S COMMUNICATION  nt, however, may a reply be tin  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 16 March 2006							
-		☐ This action is no	n-final						
′=	<b>,</b>			secution as to the meri	ite ie				
٥,۵	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dienositi	on of Claims	arradi Exparto dat	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, o o . o . o .					
·		25 20 44 and 40 fal	ana ann aite a ta tha ann	a.P. a.a.P. a					
	Claim(s) <u>1-6,11,13-18,20,22,24-28,33,35-39,41 and 43</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-6,11,13-18,20,22,24-28,33,35-39,41 and 43</u> is/are rejected.								
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and/or election re	quiromont						
ا اره	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ir and/or election re	quirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the l	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is require	d if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	2.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doc			)-(d) or (f).					
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc			ion No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t		• •		•				
	application from the International	* *		sa in this ivational otage	7				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	•	` ''	h.					
	so the attached detailed emoc detion to		·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
,									
Attachmen	• •								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	·948)	5) Notice of Informal P						
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6)	• •					

Application/Control Number: 10/022,460

Art Unit: 3714

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on March 16, 2006 in which applicant amends claims 1 and 24, cancels claims 7-10, 12, 19, 21, 29-32, 34, 40, 42 and 44, and responds to claim rejections. Claims 1-6, 11, 13-18, 20, 22, 24-28, 33, 35-39, 41 and 43 are pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 13-15, 18, 20, 22, 24-28, 35, 36, 39, 41 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as obvious over Bennett (US 6,089,977).

The rejection as stated in office action paper no. 11162005 is maintained and incorporated herein.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 11, 16, 17, 33, 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Halloran (US 6,439,993) in view of Mayeroff (US 6,224,483).

The rejection as stated in office action paper no. 11162005 is maintained incorporated and modified herein.

### Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed March 16, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. Applicant alleges claims 1 and 24 have been amended to overcome the prior art in replacing the word "any" with the word "all". Examiner respectfully disagrees. The jackpot feature of Bennett not only converts all symbols to the right of the iceberg, but all the symbols in the matrix as illustrated by the penguin's path in figure 3.
- 6. Applicant states that the feature of Bennett only converts one symbol at a time and that the special symbols are on the leftmost and rightmost reels and that not all

Application/Control Number: 10/022,460 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

symbols to the left of the special symbol in the pay line can be converted. As the claims are currently written, examiner respectfully disagrees. Even if applicant's allegation that the feature of Bennett fails to convert all the symbols to the left of the special symbol are converted were true (which is debatable), the argument is moot in relation to the claims since the claims recite converting all the symbols to the left or to the right of the special symbol and since it is obvious that the feature of Bennett converts all of the symbols to the right of the special symbol (Figure 3).

- 7. Applicant alleges that it is impossible for Bennett to meet the claimed limitations regarding converting all of the symbols to the left of or right of the special symbols. Examiner respectfully disagrees. The feature of Bennett may not convert all of the symbols to the special symbol at one time, but it does convert them all in a sequence prior to the end of the turn and initiation of subsequent turns therefore, one could interpret this action as converting all of the symbols.
- 8. Applicant alleges O'Halloran teaches only converting symbols in the same pay line as the special symbol and that it is not obvious to alter O'Halloran in such a manner. Examiner respectfully disagrees. It is notoriously well known in the art at the time of invention to have such gaming machines with bent or vertical pay lines; evidence is presented in Mayeroff and Dietz II (US 6,641,477). The presence of bent pay lines would mean that there could be a plurality of pay lines that intersects with said symbol and so substituting for symbols on a win line may translate to substituting for all adjacent symbols.

Art Unit: 3714

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dat T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 5712722178. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John M. Hotaling can be reached on (571)272-4437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dat Nguyen

JOHNAL HOTALING, II PRIMARY EXAMINER